

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 ROBERT CARL GORSKI,

No. 2:16-CV-2109-KJM-CMK

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 COUNTY OF MARIN, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. For cases such as this,
18 which are based on federal question jurisdiction, the federal venue statute requires that the action
19 be brought only in (1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in
20 the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving
21 rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is
22 situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in
23 which the action may otherwise be brought. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Here, the claim(s) arose
24 in Marin County, which is within the boundaries of the United States District Court for the
25 Northern District of California. Therefore, the court finds that this action most appropriately
26 proceeds in that district. In the interest of justice, the court will transfer this case. See 28 U.S.C.

1 § 1406(a).

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the
3 United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

4
5 DATED: December 9, 2016

6 
7 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26